In re: Lohr et al.

Serial No.: 09/782,834 Filed: February 14, 2001

Page 9 of 9

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of October 5, 2004, Applicants hereby elect Claims 1-19, 35-54, 71 and 73 corresponding to Invention I, drawn to methods, systems and computer program products for requesting Wireless Telephony Application (WTA) protocol based actions. Applicants have canceled Claims 20-34, 55-70, 72 and 74 corresponding to Invention II, drawn to methods, systems and computer program products for requesting Wireless Telephony Application (WTA) protocol based actions. This cancellation is being made without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these claims and/or other claims.

Applicants are not traversing the restriction requirement because Applicants agree that unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Invention II.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-19, 35-54, 71 and 73.

Respectfully submitted,

Elizabeth A. Stanek

Registration No.: 48,568

USPTO Customer No. 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec Post Office Box 37428 Raleigh, North Carolina 27627

Telephone: 919/854-1400 Facsimile: 919/854-1401

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 4, 2004.

Erin A. Campion